

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/780,173	02/17/2004	Yuh-Cherng Wu	13906-139001 / 1739 2003P00611	
32864 7590 07/23/2007 FISH & RICHARDSON, P.C.			EXAMINER	
PO BOX 1022			KIM, PAUL	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2161	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Interview Summary	10/780,173	WU ET AL.				
interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Paul Kim	2161				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):						
(1) <u>Paul Kim</u> .	(3)					
(2) Matthew J. Smyth.	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>18 July 2007</u> .						
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]						
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:						
Claim(s) discussed: 1.						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Devine et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,968,571) and Lei et al (U.S. Patent No. 6,487,552)</u> .						
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.						
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant and Examiner discussed the limitations of claim 1 and relevant differences between said limitations and the cited prior art. Applicant asserted the argument that Lei nor Devine disclose a method wherein a security pattern was generated as recited within the limitations of claim. The Examiner noted that Devine would indeed read upon the claims as recited and understood by one of ordinary skill in the art. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)</u>						
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.						
APU MOFIZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE	≘ R					
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an	_ Jan	2 /c-				
Attachment to a signed Office action.	Examiner's si	gnature, if required				